ABSTRACT

Laffitteina from the Maastrichtian-Thanetian shallow marine carbonates of the Aurès Basin (Northeastern Algeria): microfacies and stratigraphic distribution

<u>Laffitteina</u> de los carbonatos marinos someros del Maastrichtiano-Thanetiano de la cuenca de Aurés (noreste de Argelia): distribución de microfacies y estratigráfica

Sana **Benmansour**^{1,*}

¹ Laboratory of Mobilization and Management of Water Resources (LMGRE), University of Batna 2, 53,Constantine Road, Fesdis, 05078, Batna, Algeria.

* Corresponding author: (S. Benmansour) s.benmansour@univ-batna2.dz

How to cite this article:

Benmansour, S., 2023, *Laffitteina* from the Maastrichtian-Thanetian shallow marine carbonates of the Aurès Basin (Northeastern Algeria): microfacies and stratigraphic distribution : Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana, 75 (1), A071022. http://dx.doi. org/10.18268/BSGM2023v75n1a071022

Manuscript received: March 22, 2022. Corrected manuscript received: June 6, 2022. Manuscript accepted: July 14, 2022.

Peer Reviewing under the responsibility of Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-SA license(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

ABSTRACT

The Maastrichtian-Thanetian Ncham Member of the Aurès Basin in northeastern Algeria contains variably lenticular microfossils, which are defined as Laffitteina genera. It is a large benthic foraminifera, studied from three stratigraphic sections. The systematic study of Laffitteina led identification of nine species, four of which, Laffitteina marsicana, L. aff. mengaudi, L. monodi and L. erki were recorded for the first time in the study area. Various species of Laffitteina were used as index fossils to recognize the K/Pg transition in the Aurès Basin. The last occurrence of L. oztuerki marks the end of the Maastrichtian, which was found in association with Omphalocyclus macroporus (Lamarck) in the upper Maastrichtian. The first occurrence of L. erki marks the Thanetian age, while the Danian and Selandian deposits are missing in the field after a general emersion in the early Paleocene, despite the absence of a visible non unconformity. It could therefore be proposed that the K/Pg transition may occurs in the last 10 m of the Ncham Member. Concerning the paleoenvironment, Laffitteina is considered as a resistant foraminifera and thrives in meso- to eutrophic environments (lagoon). Microfacies data from the Upper Cretaceous-Lower Paleogene limestones of the Aurès Basin suggests a carbonate platform with shallowing-up sequential organization, mainly controlled by fluctuations in sea-level.

Keywords: *Laffitteina*, benthic foraminifera, Paleoenvironment, Aurès, Algeria.

RESUMEN

El Miembro Ncham del Maastrichtiano-Thanetiano de la cuenca de Aurés, en el noreste de Argelia, contiene especímenes variablemente lenticulares, que se definen como del género Laffitteina. Se trata de un gran foraminífero bentónico, estudiado a partir de tres secciones estratigráficas. El estudio sistemático de Laffitteina permitió identificar nueve especies, cuatro de las cuales, Laffitteina marsicana, L. aff. mengaudi, L. monodi y L. erki, se registraron por primera vez en la zona de estudio. Se utilizaron varias especies de Laffitteina como fósiles índice para reconocer la transición K/Pg en la cuenca de Aurés. La última aparición de L. oztuerki marca el final del Maastrichtiano, que se encontró en asociación con <u>Omphalocyclus macroporus</u> (Lamarck) en el Maastrichtiano superior. La primera aparición de L. erki marca la edad del Thanetiense, mientras que los depósitos del Daniano y del Selandiano faltan en el campo tras una emersión general en el Paleoceno temprano, a pesar de la ausencia de un hiato evidente. Por lo tanto, se podría proponer que la transición K/ Pg se produce en los últimos 10 m del Miembro Ncham. En cuanto al paleoambiente, Laffitteina se considera un foraminífero resistente y prospera en ambientes de meso a eutróficos (laguna). Los datos de microfacies de las calizas del Cretácico Superior-Paleógeno Inferior de la cuenca de Aurés sugieren una plataforma carbonatada con una organización secuencial ascendente, controlada principalmente por las fluctuaciones del nivel del mar.

Palabrasclave:Laffitteina,foraminíferosbentónicos,Paleoambiente, Aurés, Argelia.

1. Introduction

The Late Cretaceous is one of the most significant periods in the geological history of the Earth planet, considering its end with the famous mass extinction of many genera and species of animals [Alvarez et al. (1980), Raup et al. (1982), Molina et al. (1996), Bambach et al. (2006), Schulte et al. (2010), Keller et al. (2010), Archibald et al. (2010), Longrich et al. (2011), Mc Ghee et al. (2013), Brusatte et al. (2015), James et al. (2016)]. The Aurès Basin in the Eastern Saharan Atlas is one of the most intriguing regions of northeastern Algeria. It includes major structural and tectonic events that have affected the region. As a result of this tectonic activity, the stratigraphic sequence records a greater complexity of facies changes and fluctuations in microfaunal contents (foraminifera in particular) that also reveal changes in the depositional environment.

In this work, which is based on data obtained in 2016 (Benmansour, 2016) and already partly published (Benmansour et al., 2017) - where the authors explain how they defined the boundaries of the stages and sub-stages- we focused on the genus Laffitteina in Maastrichtian-Thanetian deposits. This genus was established in 1945 by Marie based on material from the Montian of France. The test of this genus is lenticular with a diameter of 3 mm and a thickness of 1 mm (Blanc, 1975). The members of this taxa have in common a single foramen, slit-shaped, straight, and areal, extending from a ventral interiomarginal position in an oblique direction into the dorsal parts of the septal face. They exhibit no foliar chamberlets but a plate of which the nature and its relation to the areal foramen is unclear (Hottinger, 2014).

Laffitteina is one of the few groups of larger, complex foraminifera surviving the Cretaceous–Cenozoic boundary event in shallow water (Billman *et al.*, 1980). It is widely present in the Maastrichtian from the Pyrenean Basin to the Middle East platforms (Consorti *et al.*, 2018). It occurs also in the Paleocene of the Middle East (Rahaghi, 1992; Inan *et al.*, 2004) where its stratigraphic distribution through the Cretaceous and Paleocene carbonates is practically continuous (Hottinger 2014, in Consorti *et al.*, 2018).

According to Billman *et al.* (1980) and Hottinger (2014), *Laffitteina* thrive in meso- to eutrophic environments. The latest Maastrichtian–Danian low-stand phase [(Hallam and Wignall, 1999; Alegret *et al.*, 2001; Habib and Saeedi, 2007; Haq, 2014)] may have increased the tropism in shallow seas through the establishment of "pools" restricted in water circulation on shelves. This would provide environment for some resistant foraminifera represented by *Laffitteina, Elazigina*, and probably *Palaeoelphidium* (Consorti *et al.*, 2018). Belkhoudja *et al.*, (2004) are also mentioned that the dominance of the *Laffitteina* implies the installation of lagoon setting with decreased salinity (up to 30 ‰).

In Algeria, the genus *Laffitteina* was first reported by Drooger (1952) by only two species: *L. bibensis* and *L. conica*. Subsequently, six species were recognized at least in the outcrop of El Kantara, western Aurès (Belkhodja and Bignot, 2004), namely: L. *boluensis* (Dizer, 1957); L. *conica* (Drooger, 1952); L. *mengaudi* (Astre, 1923); L. cf. *monodi* (Marie, 1946); L. oeztuerki (Inan, 1995) and L. *bibensis* (Marie, 1946).

The purpose of this paper is to describe the microfacies and assemblages of *Laffitteina* from the shallow marine carbonate of the Maastrichtian-Thanetian of the Aurès Basin and to establish deposits conditions at the K–Pg boundary.

2. Geological setting

The Upper Cretaceous - Paleocene sedimentary rocks are well exposed in the Aurès basin in the eastern part of the Saharan Atlas (northeastern Algeria) (Figure 1A). The Aurès has previously been mapped by Laffitte (1939), stratigraphicaly, sedimentologically and structurally studied by Dubourdieu (1956), Bertraneu (1955), Cruys (1955), Emberger (1960), Guiraud (1973, 1974, 1975), Vila (1980), Bureau (1986), Kazi Tani (1986), Aissaoui (1985), Ghandriche (1991), Addoum (1995) and Herkat (1999).

3

The succession, named by Benmansour *et al.* (2017) Ncham Member, belongs to be upper Campanian-Maastrichtian and Thanetian.

The Aurès Basin is characterized by a system of tilted blocks bordered by NW-SE to WNW-ESE-trending faults Guiraud (1973, 1990), Bellion *et al.* (1973), Vila(1980), Bureau (1986) et Kazi Tani (1986). Otherwise, NE-SW faults located within the basin are characterized by transtentional movements. During the late Maastrichtian-Paleocene, the structural evolution in the Aurès Basin led to the development of slumps with slip parallel to the dip of the structure limbs, as seen in the upper Maastrichtian formations of the Tebessa Mountains (Herkat, 1999). Also, progressive unconformities of Paleogene on top of the



Figure 1 A. Main structural domains of northern Algeria and location of the studied area in the eastern part (modified from Michard *et al.*, 2008). B. Paleoenvironments of the Eastern Atlasic Domain (Herkat & Guiraud, 2006) and location of the sections, from West to East: El Kantara, Menaa, and Tighanimine.

Maastrichtian are developed on the southern flank of the Djebel El Azreg anticline. These features suggest the onset of folding in the Aurès' anticlines at the end of the Cretaceous (Herkat *et al.*, 2006). A Palaeocene sedimentary break is observed at Djebel Azreg, in the central zone of the Aurès Massif, that the Eocene sediments unconformably overlying the Maastrichtian, whereas farther west this stage is recognized at Djebel Metlili, in the northern part of the Ziban range (Belkhodja and Bignot, 2004).

3. Materials and Methods

In this study, we use the same lithostratigraphic nomenclature adopted by Benmansour (2016) and Benmansour et al., (2017) for the upper portion of the Upper Cretaceous-Lower Paleogene deposits of the Aurès Basin that constitute the Abiod Formation. The Ncham Member, representing the Maastrichtian-lower Thanetian limestones rocks (the upper part of the Abiod Formation), are sampled from three localities (Figure 1B) in the western Aurès (El Kantara section: GPS: 35°13'57" N and $5^{\circ}42'6,93''$ E, with a thickness of 450 m), and the central Aurès, on both sides of Djebel El Azereg (Menaa GPS: 35°11'08. 44" N and 5°59'0 42,00" E, with a thickness of 200 m and Tighanimine: GPS: 35°9'30,19" N and 6°14'29,03" E, with a thickness of 300 m). A total of 232 thin sections were prepared from samples of hard limestones that collected from the localities described above using a monocular polarizing microscope, in order to describe microfacies and study the populations of Laffitteina in random sections. The number and kinds of samples depend on the geological settings (outcrop conditions, sampling units indicated by types and thickness of bedding and bed by bed sampling was conducted several times, if lithological changes were observed within the bed). Carbonate rocks in this study were classified following Dunham (1962), Folk (1959), and Embry and Klovan (1971) for reefal rocks texture. The palaeoenvironmental reconstruction is based on Wilson

(1975); Tucker and Wright (1990); Wright (1992); Scholle and Ulmer-Scholle (2003), and Flügel (2004). All the thin sections containing the Laffitteina species figured in this paper using a Sony Cyber-shot DSC-W670 16.1MP 1/2.3" CCD Compact Camera are deposited at the collection of Paleontology Laboratory, of the Geological Department, Batna 2 (Mostefa Ben Boulaïd) University, Algeria, under the collection label "K" for El kantara section, "M" for Menaa section and "T" for Tighanimine section, respectively.

4. Stratigraphic Setting

In northeastern Algeria, the Abiod Formation marks its location in the upper portion of the Late Cretaceous-Early Paleogene very clearly by its effect on the topography, as mentioned in north-central Tunisia by Robszynski et al., (2010): a double line of low hills separated by a slight median depression. The Upper Cretaceous-Paleocene of the Aurès is organized in the landscape as double hills, separated by a middle part in a slight depression. This morphology corresponds to the tripartite composition of the Upper Cretaceous-Lower Paleocene with a lower member of alternances of marl-limestone, a middle member constituted mainly by marls and an upper member of massive and often chalky limestones, with a bright white patina (equivalence of Ncham Member in Kalaat Senan). The regularity of this trilogy is modified in some places by reductions, or even lacunae, visibly affecting the upper member. In this study, there is a clear reduction in thickness of the Ncham Member in Menaa section, that explained by its location at the apex of tilted blocks and therefore the reduction in the space available for sedimentation.

This study is based on Ncham Member from three localities as mentioned above (Figure 1B): El Kantara section, Menaa and Tighanimine section. This member is of Late Cretaceous-Early Paleogene age. As cited in 2016 (Benmansour, 2016) and Benmansour *et al.* (2017), the Campanian is identi-

fied by Globotruncanita elevata, Globotruncana ventricosa, Radotruncana calcarata, Globotruncana falsostuarti and by the Ammonite: Nostoceras (Bostrychoceras) polyplocum (ROEMER, 1841). The Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary could not be specified with the foraminifera. Nevertheless, it is located between the disappearances of Globotruncana falsostuarti and Nostoceras (Bostrychoceras) polyplocum. The Maastrichtian is highlighted in most sections by the existence of planktonic foraminifera Rugoglobigerina macrocephala and Rugoglobigerina hexacamerata. The lower Maastrichtian-upper Maastrichtian boundary was traced by the first appearance of the stratigraphic species Omphalocyclus macroporus which corresponds to the upper Maastrichtian. The Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary was identified by the first occurrence of Laffitteina erki which marks the Thanetian age.

In the El kantara section, the Ncham Member consists of about 450 m of massive limestones, which form the famous gorges of El Kantara. The member begins with bioclastic limestones very rich in bryozoans, echinoids, and Inoceramus. In the topmost of the member, bioclastic limestone beds become more common and are overlay by limestones with calcareous algae and Laffitteina. It is bounded by an erosional surface of indurated bioclastic limestones containing thalassinoides. Placed in the paleogeographic sketches proposed by the authors and more particularly on those established by Vila (1980) and Tawadros (2001), the El Kantara deposit appears to be located at the beginning of the trans-Saharan sea, at a short distance from the emerged lands of the Paleomaghreb. The Cretaceous-Thanetian period ends with a shallow marine sedimentation, very changeable, passing from infralittoral to mediolittoral and then to supralittoral (Belkhoudja, 2004). The return of the sea begins in the upper Thanetian by the installation of a series of lakes where the Red Marls are deposited above the Ncham Member. The constant presence of *Microcodium* in these marls is an indication of the proximity of emerging limestone outcrops in the process of karstification whose erosion brings into the gulf puffs of coarse terrigenous contributions: quartz and especially limestone microbreccias, remanified foraminifera, articles of *Microcodium* (Belkhoudja, 2004).

- In the Menaa section, the Ncham Member consists of about 200 m of massive limestones. It begins with a marl-limestone unit, in cm-to dm-thick beds, containing abundant bivalves, gastropods, and bryozoans. This unit ends with intensively bioturbated limestone beds with traces of thalassinoides. The upper part of the member consists of about 100 m of monotonous bioclastic limestone containing abundant bivalves, echinoids, gastropods, and bryozoans. At the top, calcareous algal beds have been recorded.
- In the Tighanimine section, the Ncham Member consists of about 300 m of massive bioclastic limestones. It constitutes an easily identifiable landmark in the topography of the Aurès. It presents two aspects, successively from the bottom to the top: 1- several levels of limestone appear in centimetric beds, sometimes bioclastic or with lumachellic aspect; with a progressive thickening towards the top, ending with an emersion surface, 2- the limestone becomes massive containing abundant accumulations of bivalves, echinoids, gastropods, bryozoans, and red algae.

5. Results

5.1. SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

Species of *Laffitteina* from the Maastrichtian-Thanetian shallow-water carbonates of the Aurès Basin (northeastern Algeria) are described herein. The vertical distribution of species is shown in Figures 4, 5 and 6.

Order Foraminiferida Eichwald, 1930 Suborder Rotaliina Delage and Hérouard, 1896



Figure 2 *Laffitteina* foraminifera in thin sections in the limestone beds of the Ncham Member. A, Laffitteina mengaudi. Axial section from El Kantara section. Sample K 71. B, Laffitteina aff. mengaudi. Axial section from El Kantara section. Sample K 64. C-E, Laffitteina conica. Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 44, K 54, K 74 and K 80 respectively. F-I, Laffitteina oeztuerki. Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 23, K 65, K 73, K 82 respectively. J-M, Laffitteina marsicana, J&K. Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 64 and K 77; L, Axial section from Menaa section. Sample M 71; M. Axial section from Menaa section. Sample T 22.

http://dx.doi.org/10.18268/BSGM2023v75n1a071022

Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana / 75 (1) / A071022/ 2023 /

RESULTS

Superfamily Rotaliacea Ehrenberg 1839 Family Rotaliidae Ehrenberg 1839 Subfamily Laffitteininae Hottinger, 2014 Genus Laffitteina Marie 1946 Type species. Laffitteina bibensis Marie, 1946 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre, 1923) Figure 2A

1923 Nummulites mengaudi Astre, p. 360-368, Pl.12. 1945 Laffitteina bibensis n. gen. n.sp., Marie, p. 419-431, Figure 17-29

1945 Laffitteina monodi Marie, p. 419-431, Figure 17-29.

1952 Laffitteina bibensis Marie, Drooger, p. 99-100, pl. 16, Figure 9a, c, 11-15.

1957 Laffitteina cf. bibensis marie, Dizer, p. 38-41, pl. 1,2.

1957 Laffitteina boluensis s.sp. Dizer, p. 38-41, pl. 2.

1965 Laffitteina marsicana s.sp., Farinacci, p. 1245-1257, pl. 115-116.

1975 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre), Blanc & Colin, p. 109-131, pl. 23-26.

1975 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre), Blanc, p. 62, pl. 1-2.

1986 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre), Sirel, p. 126, pl. 3.

1988 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre), Radoicic, p. 22-24, pl. 4.

1998 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre), Sirel, p. 88, pl. 46, Figures 1–23; non pl. 47, Figures 1–22.

Description. The test is inflated lenticular with broadly rounded periphery. In the axial section, umbilical and ventral sides are flattened or slightly convex. It has slightly trochospiral to nearly planispiral coiling.

Remarks. The test shape and the coiling type show similar characteristics to those of L. mengaudi illustrated by Marie from France (1946) and from Turkey (Sirel, 1996-1998).

Distribution. In this study, this specimen has been recorded from the late Maastrichtian of El Kantara section, at the level of samples K64-K70, K75, and K80. It occurs in the Maastrichtian-Paleocene limestones of Turkey (Sirel, 1996-1998) and in the late Maastrichtian of France (Marie, 1946). Laffitteina aff. mengaudi (Astre, 1923) Figure 2B

Description. The test is thin lenticular with a flattened shape and rounded periphery. It has a flat ventral side, while the umbilical side is slightly convex. The coiling is slightly trochospiral.

Remarks. This specimen is closely similar to that recorded from Turkey and described by Sirel (1996). It shows close similarity with *Laffitteina mengaudi* in having rounded periphery and trochospiral coil, but it differs from L. *mengaudi* in having thinner lenticular shell.

Distribution. It was recorded only in sample K 67, from the late Maastrichtian of El Kantara section. It was found in the Maastrichtian-Paleocene of Turkey (Sirel, 1996).

Laffitteina conica Drooger, 1952 Figure 2C-E

- 1952 Laffitteina conica Drooger, p. 100, Plate 16, Figure 10a-c, 16,17.
- 1996 Laffitteina conica Drooger, Sirel, p.20, Plate V, Figure 1-12; Plate, Plate VII, Figure 1-17.

1998 Laffitteina aff. mengaudi (Astre)—Sirel, pars, p. 90, pl. 48, Figure 16.

Description. The shell is conical, with a narrowly rounded margin and apex. The axial section is asymmetric, planispiral involute, and has a triangular outline. It has a flattened umbilical area, where the pillars are strongly thickened. The spiral side is convex to strongly convex.

Remarks. The asymmetrical axial section and the thickness of the pillars on the umbilical side are similar to those of L. conica described by Marie (1946) and Sirel (1996-1998).

Distribution. In this study, this species was found only in the upper Maastrichtian of El Kantara section, in samples K44, K48, K 54, K 74, K 77, and K 80. It is very rare in Turkey; it has been found in the Maastrichtian limestones of Bozandere place, Ilgaz mountains, north of Çankiri and in central Turkey (Sirel, 1996-1998). It is associated in this locality with Laffitteina aff. mengaudi and Laffitteina mengaudi.

Laffitteina oeztuerki Inan, 1995 Figure 2F-I

1995 Laffitteina oeztuerki n. sp.: Inan, p. 111, pl. I, Figures 5–11. 1998 Laffitteina koyulhisarica n. sp.: Sirel, p. 92, pl. 52, Figures 1–22.

Description. The test is lenticular biconvex,

wider in the central part. It has an almost bilateral

symmetric shape with a subacute periphery. the plugs are prominent on both sides. Remarks. This species differs from other species



Figure 3 Laffitteina foraminifera in thin sections in the limestone beds of the Ncham Member. A-B, Laffitteina boluensis. Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 39 and K 54. C-D, Laffitteina monodi. Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 59 and K 82. E-G, Laffitteina bibensis, Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 39, K 74, K88 respectively. H-I, Laffitteina erki, Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 83 and K 89. I, Laffitteina aff. mengaudi. Axial sections from El Kantara section. Samples K 64.

Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana / 75 (1) / A071022/ 2023 /

of Laffitteina in having a lenticular, biconvex and nearly bilateral symmetric. This species is comparable to those described by Inan (2004).

Distribution. It occurs in the upper Maastrichtian of Turkey (Meriç and Inan, 1995; Inan, 2004). In this study, it was recorded in the upper Maastrichtian of El Kantara section, in samples: K 22, K 23, K 28, K 35, K 37, K 39, K 55, K 60, K 73, K 75, and K82.

> Laffitteina marsicana Farinacci, 1965 Figure 2J-2M



| 'ia |
|------------|
| Je |
| |
| 1 |
| |
| a |
| 1 2 |
| ě |
| 7 |
| 3 |
| Ē |
| Ę. |
| 6 |
| e |
| Ja |
| ō |
| - P |
| g |
| Je |
| ÷Ē |
| na |
| 2 |
| 8 |
| all |
| Ę. |
| Ē |
| a |
| let |
| an |
| F |
| '± |
| a |
| Ę |
| i |
| st |
| aa |
| Σ |
| Je |
| - |
| Ĕ |
| F |
| 0 |
| in |
| tte |

Laf

RESULTS

| | | g | | | | Benthic Foraminifera | |
|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|------|---------------------------|-------|---|---|
| NETIAN Stage | Sub-Stage | lithostratigraphic divisio | | Thickness (m) | MENAA | Samples | Inomalinlioides sp. enticulina sp. ibicides lobatulus ibicides lobatulus ibicides refulgens avelinella sp. aveinella sp. uinqueloculinasp. inomalina popenoei nomalina popenoei nomalina popenoei nomalina sp. Centiculina sp. Drbitoides tissoti Drbitoides tissoti prothia sp. falina antiaua |
| TH/ | OWer | | | _ | | M 94 M 93 | |
| - 7 | | | | - | | 8198 B | |
| | upper | | | - 200 m - - - | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| - | | - | | - | G | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| IIAN | | | | - - 150 m- | | 12 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 | |
| СНЛ | | | | - | | 10.2 2 3 4 4 H | |
| TRI | | | 5 | - | | 529 949 979 986 | |
| IAAS | | ation | embe | - | | 1222222 | |
| A | wer | Forn | m m | 100 m | | 85385 | |
| | lov | Abiod | Ncha | - | | 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 22 | |
| | | | | - | | | |
| | | | | - | | M 20 M 20 M 19 M 18 | |
| ,Ś | | | | - m uc - | | M 17 M 16 M 15 | |
| ì | | - | | - | | M 14 M 13 | |
| NA | er | | | - | | M 12 M 11 M 10 M 10 | |
| ANL | ddn | | | - | | Má M7 | |
| M | | | | - 0m – | | M 8 M 5 M 4 | |
| CA | | | | | | M 3 . M 2 | |
| | | | | | | M 1 | |

10 | Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana | 75 (1) | A071022 | 2023

http://dx.doi.org/10.18268/BSGM2023v75n1a071022

Figure 5 Vertical distribution of benthic foraminifera in Menaa section, according to the order of the first appearance.

1965 Laffitteina marsicana Farinacci, p? Pl ?, Figure 8.2A–D. 1996 Laffitteina marsicana Farinacci: Inan, pl. I, Fig-

ures 3–6.

Description. It has a thick lenticular test with a rounded periphery. The umbilical side is more convex than the ventral side, which may, however, have a slight umbonal swelling. It has asymmetrical planispiral coiling.

Remarks. This species is distinguised from the other species of *Laffitteina* by its plano-biconvex and lenticular test.

Distribution. In the study area, it occurs in the early upper Maastrichtian of El kantara section, in samples K 64, K 70, K 72, K 74, and K 77; in the Menaa section, in samples: M 71, M 75 83 and in the Tighanimine section, in samples: T 22, T 24 and T 25. It is found also in the early upper Maastrichtian of Turkey (Inan, 1996).

Laffitteina boluensis Dizer, 1957 Figure 3A-B

1957 Laffitteina boluensis Dizer, n. sp, pl. 2, Figures 3-8

Description. The test is lenticular, biconcave with a planispiral coiling and an almost symmetrical shape. The margins are clearly blunt. It has thickened pillars, only on the umbilical side.

Remarks. This species shows similarities with *L. monodi*, but differs from the latter by its more compact septa and less loose equatorial section.

Distribution. It occurs in the late lower Maastrichtian of El Kantara section, in samples K 39, K 44, K K 48, and K 54. It was found in the middle Maastrichtian to the upper Danian of Turkey (Dizer, 1957).

Laffitteina monodi Marie, 1946 Figure 3C-3D

1998 Laffitteina aff. mengaudi (Astre)-Sirel, pars, p. 90, Pl.48, Figure 16.

Description. The test is lenticular with an irregular shape and a rounded periphery. The shell is compressed, with flattened umbonal areas on both sides. It has a semi-evolute coiling. *Laffitteina monodi* is distinguished from *Laffitteina mengaudi* by its more compressed shell.

Remarks. The present specimen differs from *L. bibensis* by its general irregularity and by the decrease of its spiral side. It is closely similar to *L. monodi* illustrated by Marie (1946).

Distribution. In this study, this species was found only in the upper Maastrichtian of El Kantara section, in samples K 59 and K 82. It also present in the Montian of Mauritania (Marie, 1946).

> Laffitteina bibensis Marie, 1946. Figures 3E-3G

1923 Nummulites mengaudi n. sp.: Astre, p. 360, pl. 12 1946 Laffitteina bibensis n. sp.: Marie, p. 419, pl. V,

Figures1-3, 14-16.

- 1973 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre): Blanc, p. 133, pls. 17, 18.
- 1975 *Laffitteina mengaudi* (Astre): Blanc, p. 61, pls. 1, 2.
- 1987 *Laffitteina bibensis* Marie-Loeblich and Tappan, p. 661, pl. 759, Figures 1–2, 9.
- 1996 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre): Sirel, pl. I, Figures 1–22, pl. II, Figures 1–22, pl. III, Figures 1–11.
- 1998 Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre): Sirel, p. 88, pl. 46, Figures 1–23, pl. 47, Figures 1–22.

Description. The test is lenticular, almost planoconvex, or slightly biconvex. The chambers are hugging in asymmetrical chevrons. It has a flattened ventral side, while the umbonal side is flat (Figure 3F and 3G) or gently convex (Figure 3E),

Remarks. The specimen corresponds perfectly to the French specimens described and illustrated by Marie (1946; p 430, Figures 14-16). But it shows a distinct pattern in the convex umbonal side.

Distribution: In this study, It was recorded in the upper Maastrichtian to lower Thanetian of El Kantara section, in samples K 39, K 55, K 56, K 57, K 59, K67, K 74, K 83, K 87, and K 88. It is present

| പ |
|------|
| eri. |
| ້ຄ |
| A |
| Ľ, |
| s. |
| Ba |
| S |
| ۳. |
| AL |
| Ð |
| 문 |
| of |
| S |
| fe |
| na |
| 8 |
| Ľ, |
| Ü |
| Je |
| ÷Ę., |
| na |
| 2 |
| 8 |
| all |
| Ę. |
| |
| a |
| et |
| an |
| Ē |
| Ŀ. |
| a |
| þ |
| ÷. |
| sti |
| aa |
| Σ |
| Ð |
| 문 |
| Ε |
| 2 |
| ÷. |
| ne |
| e |
| - |

| | | 5 | | | | | Benthic Foraminifera | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--|---|-----------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| AN Stage | Sub-Stage | lithostootionabia divisio | nunou augi apine uivion | Thickness (m) | TIGHANIMINE | Samples | Cibicidoides voltzianus Cibicides lobatulus | Laevidentalina pseudonana Nonionellasn | Gavelinella sp. | Orbitoides tissoti | <i>Kotatta</i> spp. <i>Lenticulino</i> sp. | Ammobaculites agglutinans | bouwnopsis puicneua Dentalina sulcata | Vaginulinopsis saundersi | Bolivina incrassata Frondicularia hiformis | Cibicidoides bembix | Orbitoides media | Quinqueloculina sp. | Spiroloculina sp. | Orbitotaes concavatus Omphalocvcius macroporus | Laffiteina marsicana | Nummofallotia cretacea | Miliolina sp. Nodosaria bactridium | Enistamina su |
| Ì | | | | 300 m | | | | Ť | | | | | $\left \right $ | | | <u> </u> | Ī | Ī | 1 | | | | İ | - |
| Ë | Wer | | | - | | T 55 | | | + | + | | - | | | | + | + | + | + | | - | - | | _ |
| H | lo | | | - | | T 54 | + | + | + | + | | + | \square | | | + | + | + | + | \square | + | + | + | |
| Ę | | | | - | | T 53 | | + | + | + | $\left \right $ | _ | $\left \right $ | _ | $\left \right $ | + | + | + | + | $\left \right $ | -+ | + | + | |
| - 7 | | - | | 250 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | T 55 T 54 | | + | ┥ | + | | + | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | _ |
| | | | | - | | T 53 T 53 | | | | Ŧ | | | | | Ħ | + | \mp | - | - | | 4 | - | + | = |
| | | | | - | | T 50 T 49 | | | - | - | | | | | | - | + | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | - | | T 48 T 47 T 46 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | - | | 145 AS | | | 4 | - | | | | | | 1 | + | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 200 m- | | T 42 _{T 41} T 40 T 39 T36 | 1 | | - | | | • | | | | ŧ | + | Ŧ | | | | | | _ |
| | рег | | | - | | HX I | | | 4 | | I | - | | | | 1 | + | F | | | | | • | <u> </u> |
| | dn | | | - | | 133 133 133 | | | ŧ | | | | | | | | 8 | t | | | | Ŧ | | _ |
| | | | | - | | Т 30 Т 29 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | • | _ |
| z | | | | - | | T 28 | _ | | + | + | ┥┤ | _ | | | | _ | ┥ | + | - | | _ | Ŧ | | |
| LIA | | | | 150 m | | T 27 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| H | | | | - | | T 26 | _ | | _ | ╉ | | _ | | | | + | ╉ | ┢ | + | | • | | | |
| R | | 5 | рег | - | | T 24 | | | 1 | $^{+}$ | | | | | | ┢ | ╈ | ╈ | + | | ł | t | | - |
| ST | | lati | em | - | | T 23 | | | | I | | | | | | | T | T | | | | | | _ |
| ₹ļ | | | | - | | T 21 | | | | I | П | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Σ | | dF | nan | - | | T 20 | | | | | Ц | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u>bio</u> | S | 100 m | | T 19 | + | + | + | ╀ | H | | $\left \right $ | + | + | + | ╉ | ┢ | + | $\left \right $ | - | + | + | |
| | | | | - | | T 18 | + | + | + | ╀ | \mathbb{H} | | + | + | + | ╉ | ╀ | t | + | $\left \right $ | + | + | + | |
| | LI I | | | - | | T 17 T 19 | | | 1 | 1 | T I | | | | | 1 | 1 | Ţ | | | | | | |
| | low(| | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | - | | T 16 | | + | _ | ┢ | ┥┤ | | | | | | ┥ | | _ | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | T 15 | | | ╡ | ϯ | \square | | | \top | \dagger | ╋ | Ť | ϯ | + | | + | + | | _ |
| 2 | | | | 50 m - | | ^{T 14} | | | Ţ | t | Ħ | | | | | ╈ | Ť | t | | \square | \uparrow | - | | _ |
| VIT VIT | | | | - | | T 13 | | | 1 | | | | | | | t | 1 | | | | | | | _ |
| Ì | | - | | - | | T 11 T 10 | | | Ţ | Ŧ | F | | | | Ħ | | 1 | ł | | | + | F | Ħ | _ |
| z | | | | - | | T 8 T 6 | + | + | Ŧ | ł | Ħ | | Ħ | + | Ħ | ╞ | Ť | t | Ŧ | Ħ | + | - | + | _ |
| Ы | per | | | - | | тв | | | \downarrow | | \square | | | | | | | Ļ | | | | | | |
| M | ldn | | | 0 m _ | | Т5 | | + | \square | ╀ | \mathbb{H} | | + | + | + | ╉ | + | + | + | \vdash | + | + | + | _ |
| Z | | | | | F===={ G | I I S | | | | ŧ | Ħ | | | | • | \$ | + | | - | Ħ | | - | | |
| | | | | | | 1 1 1 | - | 1 | | | | - | i I. | | - | | | | | - 1 | | | | - 1 |

/ Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana / 75 (1) / A071022 / 2023

http://dx.doi.org/10.18268/BSGM2023v75n1a071022

Benthic Foraminifera

Figure 6 Vertical distribution of benthic foraminifera in Tighanimine section, according to the order of the first appearance.

12



Figure 7 Thin sections of microfacies. A: F1: Bioclastic wackestone to packstone; B-D: F2. Algal bindstone Algal bindstone ; E-H: F3. Peloidal packstone to grainstone; I-J: F4. Foraminiferal wackestone to packstone; K. F5. Dolomitic mudstone. Abbreviations: Lm. Laffitteina marsicana; Br. Bryozoa; Sp. Sporolithon; Mil. Miliolids; Gs. Gastropods; pel. Peloids; Ech. Echinoids; Cr. Corals; Ds Dasycladacean algae; Min. Minouxia; Lbu. Laffitteina boluensis; Val. Valvulina; Lb. Laffitteina bibensis; L. Laffitteina; Dol. Dolomites; EchF. Echinoid phantoms.

RESULTS

14



Figure 8 The vertical distribution of microfacies and their correlation between sections.

/ Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana / 75 (1) / A071022 / 2023

in the lower Danian of northwestern Europe (Bignot, 1987), in the Danian of Italy (Beckmann *et al.*, 1982), in Maastrichtian of Tunisia (Bismuth *et al.*, 1967), in the Paleocene of Libya (Berggren, 1974), in Maroc (Rahhali, 1970), in the Paleocene of Iran (Rahaghi, 1976, Bignot and Neumann, 1991), upper Maastrichtian-Danian of southwestern Slovenia (IGCP 286, 1991), Danian of Turkey (Fleury *et al.*, 1985; Serra-Kiel *et al.*, 1998), in the Adriatic (Drobne *et al.*, 1995), Slandian of southwestern Spain (Serra-Kiel *et al.*, 1996 and 1998).

Laffitteina erki (Sirel, 1969) Figure 3H-3I

1957 Laffitteina cf. bibensis Marie, Dizer, pl. 1, Figures 1-6, pl. 2, Figures 1 and 2.

1969 Orduina erki n. sp.: Sirel, pl. 2, Figures 1–5.

1969 Orduina erki var. conica n. var.: Sirel, pl. 3, Figures 1–5.

1987 Orduina erki Sirel: Inan, pl. 8, Figures 1–7. 1987 Orduina aff. erki conica Sirel: Inan, pl. 8, Figure 8.

1994 Laffitteina erki (Sirel): Sirel, p. 47, pl. I, pl. II. 1995 Laffitteina erki (Sirel): Inan, pl. 2, Figures 1–5. 1998 Laffitteina erki (Sirel): Sirel, p. 91, pl. 51, Figures 1–8.

Description. The test is large and conical-shaped. It has a broadly rounded margin. The umbilical side is strongly concave, the spiral side is convex. Pillars Thickened only on the umbilical side.

Remarks. The specimen resembles *L. erki* described and illustrated by Sirel (1994 and 1996). But, it differs in being more elongated and arched. **Distribution.** It occurs in the Thanetian limestones of Turkey (Sirel, 1996), and the lower Thanetian of El kantara section, in samples: K 83, K86, K 87, and K 89.

5.2. MICROFACIES DESCRIPTION

Figures 4, 5 and 6 represent the distribution of benthic foraminiferal associations found in the study area. Figure 7 presents the results of the petrographic analysis and the abundant components from the Ncham Member. Five main microfacies were distinguished in the studied sections, where their vertical distribution was highlighted in Figure 8:

- Microfacies (F1): Bioclastic wackestone to packstone - *Laffitteina*, as well as miliolids, are rare constituents in this microfacies. Major grain types include bioclasts, which are composed of echinoids and bryozoans (Figure 7A). These allochems are bound by a micritic matrix.
- Microfacies (F2): Algal bindstone This microfacies includes scattered bioclasts of bryozoans, gastropods, ostracods, and some benthic foraminifera (particularly Laffitteina), which are encrusted and bound by red algae (Sporolithon) (Figure 7B-7D). Microfacies (F3): Peloidal packstone to grainstone Major grain types include peloids, bioclasts, and benthic foraminifera. Bioclasts are composed of dasycladacean algae, corals, bivalves, gastropods, and echinoderms, which exceed 2 mm in diameter. Benthic foraminifera are present notably by Laffitteina oeztuerki, Minouxia sp., Lenticulina sp., Rumanolloculina sp., and Reticulinella reicheli. These grains are cemented by a sparitic calcite cement (Figure 7E-7H).
- Microfacies (F4): Foraminiferal wackestone to packstone - The allochems are mainly composed of *Laffitteina* (L. *bibensis*, L. *oeztuerki*, L. *marsicana*, L. *conica*, L. *monodi*, L. *mengaudi*, and L. aff. *mengaudi*,...) and miliolids. There are also very low proportions of scattered *Anomalina*, echinoids fagments, and bryozaons in this microfacies. The bonded material consists of micrite (Figure7I-7J).
- Microfacies (F5): Dolomitic mudstone The *Laffitteina* limestones that constitute the microfacies F4 are terminated by dolmitized limestone of microfacies F5 microfacies. It consists of small homogeneous rhombohedral crystals of dolomite, with a size of about 100 µm associated with chrinoids phantoms (Figure 7K). These grains are cemented with a clear micrite.

6. Discussion

The first microfacies (F1) corresponds to bioclastic wackestone to packstone texture. This association consists of *Laffitteina* and miliolids with very little diversity, and a micritic matrix. The wackestone/packestone texture and the micritc matrix show a generalized low energy of a circalittoral environment. This facies is comparable to SMF2 of Wilson (1975).

The low abundance of *Laffitteina* indicates a progressive facies change and the disappearance of the stress conditions that controlled the proliferation of *Laffitteina*.

The second microfacies (F2) of algal bindstone texture, which is a modification proposed by Embry and Klovan (1971) for the Dunham (1962) Boundstone type of limestone where the framework organisms were encrusting and binding the sediment. These red algae limestones show *Sporolithon (Archaeolithothamnium* sp.) horizons containing scattered bioclasts of bryozoans, gastropods, ostracods, and foraminifera that probably formed within the reef ridge (barrier) of a very shallow and high-energy water environment. This facies is comparable to SMF7 of Wilson (1975).

The microfacies (F3) corresponds to peloidal packstone to grainstone texture. This microfa-



Figure 9 A. Sedimentological model of shallow rimmed shelves carbonate platform and the vertical microfacies distribution, B. A vertical sequence of facies corresponds to the recording of their lateral succession.

cies is mainly composed of non-skeletal grains (peloids) and some scattered bioclasts (notably Dasycladacean algae and corals). Typically, peloids and bioclastic shoals separate restricted lagoonal environments from deeper ramp environments (Flügel, 2010) and may act as broad and extensive barriers to currents and waves (Aghaei, 2012). This microfacies is comparable to SMF11 and SMF 12 described by Wilson (1975) indicating a shoal environment.

The fourth micofacies (F4) with foraminiferal wackestone to packstone texture correspond to *Laffitteina* and miliolids limestone. The low diversity of the other components (very rare echinoids and bryozoans) indicate that these sediments were deposited in protected, restricted, well-oxygenated and low-energy environments within the subtidal lagoon zone (Flügel, 2010; Wilson 1975), while the abundance of *Laffitteina* and miliolids indicates their tolerance to stressful conditions related especially to salinity variations and/or poor water circulation. This microfacies is very comparable to SMF18 described by Wilson (1975).

The fifth microfacies (F5) of dolomitic mudstone texture corresponds to facies SMF 23 of Wilson (1975). The dolomitization affecting the limestones reflects an almost complete absence of fauna, except for some echinoids phantom. Such a sediment may be a reflection of a very shallow and protected lagoon-intertidal like environment.

In the Aurès Basin, the microfacies analysis of the Upper Cretaceous-Lower Paleogene suggests a shallow rimmed shelves carbonate platform with sequential organization mainly controlled by sea-level fluctuations (Figure 9A). Interpreting the observed changes in relative sea-level in this area reflects significant facies changes from a shallow open shelf environment at the base to shallow lagoon environments at the top of a shallowing-up sequence (Figure 9B). These data can be linked to a global cooling of the planet, affecting land and oceans at the K/Pg transition. This global cooling is not without affecting the eustatism, which designates the oscillations of the sea-level, susceptible to make regress by contraction of the volume of oceanic waters. These 2 regressions (temperature and eustatism) at the end of the Mesozoic, probably increased the conditions of "biological stress" (Li and Keller, 1998, Li *et al.*, 2000) which caused a massacre of the benthic fauna. The effects of marine regression have been observed in North America, Paris Basin (Courtillot, 1995) and in the Aurès Basin, through the appearance of gaps at the base of the Paleogene.

7. Conclusion

The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

1. Laffitteina mengaudi (Astre), L. aff. mengaudi (Astre), L. conica Drooger, L. monodi Marie, L. bibensis Marie, L. oeztuerki Inan, L. marsicana Farinacci, L. erki (Sirel) and L. boluensis Dizer species are present in Algeria (Aurès Basin).

2. The species of *Laffitteina* genus in the Aurès Basin have been reported from the middle of the lower Maastrichtian to the lower Thanetian.

3. Laffitteina species can be used as index fossils to recognize the K/ Pg transition in the Aurès basin. The last occurrence of Laffitteina oztuerki marks the end of the Maastrichtian (Inan, 2004). It was found in its upper part of distribution, in association with Omphalocyclus macroporus (Lamarck), giving the age of the upper Maastrichtian. The first occurrence of Laffitteina erki marks the Thanetian age, it was found in association with Valvulina, which has never been mentioned in Cretaceous rocks.

Due to the absence of Danian and Selandian deposits (Belkhodja *et al.*, 2004) after general emersion during the lower Paleocene (Marzoqi and Pascal, 2000), the Thanetian rocks are concordant with Upper Cretaceous strata indicating perhaps a parallel unconformity. It could therefore be proposed that the K/Pg transition occurs in the last 10 m of the massive limestone of the Ncham Member, very low where Belkhoudja and Bignot drew the boundary Beyond this, it is proposed that the beginning of the Paleocene transgression is started at least in the middle Thanetian.

CONCLUSION /

Conditions, which may have been determined by salinity variations and/or poor water circulation.

4. Analysis of microfacies and benthic foraminiferal assemblages suggests a shallow rimmed shelves carbonate with a shallowing-up sequential organization, primarily controlled by sea-level fluctuations.

Contributions of authors

The author of this article declares that he participated in all its elaboration: conceptualization, data analysis, methodological-technical development, writing of the original manuscript, drafting of the corrected and edited manuscript, graphic design, fieldwork, and interpretation.

Financing

There was no exclusive funding for this research.

Conflicts of Interest.

The author declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Addoum, B., 1995, L'Atlas Saharien Sud-Oriental.
 Cinématique des plis-chevauchements et reconstitution du bassin du Sud-Est constantinois (confins algéro-tunisiens):
 France, University of Paris Sud, Orsay, Thèse de doctorat en Terre, océan, espace, 158p.
- Aissaoui, D., 1985, Les structures liées à l'accident sud-atlasique entre Biskra et le Dj. Mandra (Algérie). Evolution géométrique et cinématique: France, University of Strasbourg, Thèse de Doctorat d'Etat, 145p.
- Alegret, L., Molina, E., Thomas, E., 2001, Benthic Foraminifera at the Cretaceous–Tertiary boundary around the Gulf of Mexico: Geology, 29 (10), 891–894. https://doi. org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0891:BF ATCT>2.0.CO;2

- Alvarez, L.W., Alvarez, W., Asaro, F., Michel, H.V., 1980, Extraterrestrial cause for the Cretaceous-Tertiary extinction: Science 208, 1095–1108. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.208.4448.1095
- Archibald, J.D., Clemens, W., Padian, K., Rowe, T., MacLeod, N., Barrett, P.M., Gale, A., Holroyd, P., Sues, H.D., Arens, N.C., Horner, J.R., Mantilla, G.P.W., Goodwin, M.B., Brochu, C., Lofgren, D.L., Hurlbert, S.H., Hartman, J.H., Eberth, D., Wignall, P.B., Currie, P.J., Weil, A., Prasad, G.V.R., Dingus, L., Courtillot, V., Milner, A.C., Milner, A., Bajpai, S., Ward, D.J., Sahni, A., 2010, Cretaceous extinctions: multiple causes: Science, 328, 973. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.328.5981.973-a
- Astre, G., 1923, Etude paléontologique des nummulites du Crétacé Supérieur de Cezan Laverdens (Gers): Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, 23, 360–368.
- Bambach, R.K., 2006, Phanerozoic biodiversity mass extinctions: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 34, 127– 155. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. earth.33.092203.122654
- Beckmann, J.P., Bolli, H.M., Kleboth, P., Decima, F.P., 1982, Micropaleontology and biostratigraphy of the Campanian to Paleocene of the Monte Giglio, Bergamo Province, Italy: Memorie di Scienze Geologiche, Padova, 35, 91–172.
- Bellion, Y., Donze, P., Guiraud, R., 1973, Répartition stratigraphique des principaux ostracodes (Cytheracea) dans le Crétacé supérieur du sud-ouest constantinois (Confins Hodna-Aurès, Algérie du Nord): Service Géologique de l'Algérie (Nlle série), 44, 7-44.
- Belkhodja, L., Bignot, G., 2004, La transgression thanétienne (Paléocène supérieur) dans l'Aurès occidental (Algérie) d'après les associations de foraminifères de la coupe d'El Kantara: Revue de Micropaléontologie 47, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. revmic.2004.01.005

- Benmansour, S., 2016, La série Campano-Maastrichtienne de l'anticlinal de Dj. El Azereg : Lithostratigraphie, paléobiodiversité et sédimentologie (Aurès, Algérie orientale): Algérie, Univ. Batna, Thèse doctorat, 360p.
- Benmansour, S., Yahiaoui, A., Kechid-Benkherouf, F., 2017, Le Campanien-Maastrichtien du bassin des Aurès, Algérie: biostratigraphie, paléoenvironnements et leurs implications: Annales de Paléontologie, 104(1), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. annpal.2017.11.001
- Bertraneu, J., 1955, Contribution à l'étude géologique des Monts du Hodna. (1) Le massif du Bou Taleb: Bulletin du Service Géologique de l'Algérie, 4, 189p.
- Berggren, W.E., 1974, Paleocene benthonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy, biogeography and paleoecology of Libya and Mali: Micropaleontology 20, 449–465. https:// doi.org/10.2307/1485130.
- Bignot, G., 1987, Les paléoenvironnements et les paléogéographies du bassin de Paris au Danien, d'après les Foraminifères du Mont Aimé (Marne, France): Revue de Micropaléontologie, 30, 150–176.
- Bignot, G., Neumann, M., 1991, Les 'grands' foraminifères du Crétacé Terminal et du Paléogène du Nord-Ouest Europeen: Recensement et extensions chronologiques: Bulletin de la Information Géologique de Bass, 28, 13–29.
- Billman, H., Hottinger, L., Oesterle, H., 1980, Neogene to recent Rotaliid Foraminifera from the Indopacific Ocean; their Canal system, their classification and their stratigraphic use: Schweizerische Paläontologische Abhandlungen, 101, 71–113.
- Bismuth, H., Bonnefous, J., Dufaure, P., 1967, Mesozoic microfacies of Tunisia. Guidebook to the Geology and History of Tunisia, in 9th Annual field Conference Petroleum Exploration Society of Libya, 159–214.
- Blakey, R.H., 2011, Global paleogeography: NAU Geology.

- Blanc, P.L., 1975, Contribution à l'étude du genre Laffitteina, Elphidiidé du Crétacé terminal: Revue de Micropaléontologie, 18, 61–68.
- Brusatte, S.L., Butler, R.J., Barrett, P.M., Carrano, M.T., Evans, D.C., Lloyd, G.T., Mannion, P.D., Norell, M.A., Peppe, D.J., Upchurch, P., Williamson, T.E., 2015, The extinction of the dinosaurs: Biological Reviews, 90, 628– 642. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12128
- Bureau, D., 1986, Approche sédimentaire de la dynamique structurale: Evolution mésozoique et devenir orogénique de la partie septentrionale du fossé saharien (sudouest constantinois et Aurès-Algérie): France, University of Pierre & Marie Curie, Thèse Doctorat, 779p.
- Chevalier, T., Strasser, A., 1985, Dépot de plaine d'estran dans le Portlandien de la montagne de Parves (Jura méridional. Ain, France): Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae, 78, 287-298. http://doi.org/10.5169/seals-165657
- Consorti, L., Koorosh, R., 2018. A new evidence of passing the Maastichtian–Paleocene boundary by larger benthic foraminifers: The case of Elazigina from the Maastrichtian Tarbur Formation of Iran: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, 63 (3), 595–605. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.00487.2018
- Courtillot, V., 1995, La vie en catastrophe: France, Fayard, 278 p.
- Cruys, H., 1955, Contributions à l'étude géologique des monts du Hodna. II. La région de Tocqueville et de Bordj-R'Dir: France, AbeBooks Seller, 326p.
- Dizer, A., 1957, Observations on a fauna of Foraminifera from Montian beds in Turkey: Journal of the Palaeontological Society of India, 2, 38–41.
- Drobne, K., Barattoto, F., 1995, Algae and Foraminifera along the K/T Boundary from the Karst Area, in Impact Cratering and Evolution of Planet Earth 4th International Workshop, Abstract, 70–71.
- Drooger, C.W., 1952, Foraminifera from Cretaceous-Tertiary transition strata of the

Hodna Mountains, Algeria: Contributions from the Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research 3, 89–103.

- Dubourdieu, G., 1956, Etude géologique de la région de l'Ouenza (confins Algéro-Tunisiens): Publications du Service de la Carte Géologique de l'Algérie, 10, 659p.
- Dunham, R.J., 1962, Classification of carbonate rocks according to depositional textures, in Ham, W. E., (ed.), Classification of Carbonate Rocks - A Symposium: American Association of Petroleum Geologist, Memoir 1, 108-121. https://doi.org/10.1306/M1357
- Embry, A.F., Klovan, J.E., 1971, A late Devonian reef tract on northeastern Banks Island, Northwest Territories: Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, 19(4), 730–781. https:// doi.org/10.35767/gscpgbull.19.4.730
- Fleury, J.J., Bignot, G., Blondeau, A., Poignant, A., 1985, Biogeographie de Foraminifères benthiques tethisiens du Senonien à l'Eocene supérieur: Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, 8, 757-770. https:// doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.I.5.757
- Folk, R.L., 1959, Practical petrographic classification of limestones: American Association of Petroleum Geologists 43, https://doi. Bulletin, 1-38. org/10.1306/0BDA5C36-16BD-11D7-8645000102C1865D
- Flügel, E., 2004, Microfacies of carbonate rocks: New York, Springer, 976 p. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-662-08726-8
- Flügel, F., 2010, Microfacies of carbonate rocks analysis, interpretation and application, Edition 2: New York, Springer, 984p. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03796-2
- Ghandriche, H., 1991, Modalités de la superposition de structures de plissementschevauchements d'âge alpin dans les Aurès (Algérie): France, University of Paris XI, Thèse doctorat, 189p.
- Guiraud, R., 1973, Evolution post-Triasique de l'Avant pays de la chaîne alpine en Algérie d'après l'étude du Bassin du Hodna et des régions voisines: France, University of Nice, Thèse doctorat, 270p.

- Guiraud, R., 1974, A la recherche du rhegmatisme de l'Algérie du Nord et des régions voisines: Annales Scientifiques de l'Université de Besançon, 22, 135-153.
- Guiraud, R., 1975, L'évolution post-triasique de l'avant-pays de la chaîne alpine en Algérie, d'après l'étude du bassin du Hodna et des régions voisines: Alger, Office National de la Géologie, 259p.
- Guiraud, R., 1990, Evolution post-triasique de l'avant-pays de la chaîne alpine en Algérie, d'après l'étude du bassin du Hodna et des régions voisines. Mémoire 3, Service Géologique de l'Algérie, Alger 271 p.
- Habib, D., Saeedi, F., 2007, The Manumiella seelandica global spike: Cooling during regression at the close of the Maastrichtian: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 255, 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2007.02.043.
- Hallam, A., Wignall, P.B., 1999, Mass extinctions and sea-level changes: Earth-Science Reviews, 48, 217–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0012-8252(99)00055-0
- Haq, B.U., 2014, Cretaceous eustasy revisited: Global and Planetary Change, 113,44–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gloplacha.2013.12.007
- Herkat, M., 1999, La sédimentation de haut niveau marin du Crétacé supérieur de l'Atlas saharien oriental et des Aurès. Stratigraphie séquentielle, analyse quantitative des biocénoses, évolutionpaléogéographique et contexte géodynamique: Algeria, University of Algier USTHB, hèse doctorat, 802p.
- Herkat, M., Guiraud, R., 2006, The relationships between tectonics and sedimentation in the Late Cretaceous series of the eastern Atlasic Domain (Algeria): Journal of African Earth Sciences 46, 346-370. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2006.06.008
- Hottinger, L., 2014, Paleogene larger rotaliid foraminifera from the Western and Central Neotethys: Berlin, Springer, 191 p. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02853-8
- Inan, N., 1995, The importance of Laffitteina

(Foraminifera) genus at the Cretaceous/ Tertiary transition, in Erler, A., Ercan, T., Bingol, E., Orcen, S. (eds.), Geology of the Black Sea region: Chamber of Geological Engineers of Turkey, Ankara, 109–118.

- Inan, N., 1996, The geographic extension and stratigraphic distribution of Laffitteina species in Turkey: Geological Bulletin of Turkey 39, 43–53.
- Inan, N., 2004, Laffitteina from the Maastrichtian-Paleocene shallow marine carbonate successions of the Eastern Pontides (NE Turkey): biozonation and microfacies: Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 25 (2), 367–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jseaes.2004.04.002
- IGCP Project No 286., 1991, Early Paleogene Benthos Second Meeting, Postojna (Slovenia, Istria): Introduction to the Paleogene of SW Slovenia and Istria: Slovenia, Field-Trip Guidebook, 90p.
- Laffitte, R., 1939, Étude géologique de l'Aurès: Bulletin du Service de la Carte Géologique de l'Algérie: Stratigraphie, description régionale 484 p. et 1 carte au 1/200 000e.
- Levy, D., Shoemaker, E., Shoemaker, C., 1993, La comète Shoemaker-Levy 1991a1, in Leprette, X. (comp.),Cometes observees par les membres de la Societe Astronomique de France en 1992, 38-43.
- Li, L., Keller, G., 1998, Maastrichtian climate, productivity and faunal turnovers in planktic foraminifera in South Atlantic DSDP sites 525A and 21: Marine Micropaleontology, 33(1-2), 55-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0377-8398(97)00027-3
- Li, D., Qiao, S.Y., Yi, G.F., Jiang, J.Y., Xu, X.X., Piao, X.S., Han, I.K., Thacker, P., 2000, Performance of growing-finishing pigs fed sesame meal supplemented diets formulated using amino acid digestibilities determined by the regression technique: Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 13 (2), 213-219. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2000.213

Longrich, N.R., Tokaryk, T., Field, D.J., 2011,

Mass extinction of birds at the Cretaceous– Paleogene (K–Pg) boundary: PNAS, 108(37), 15253-15257. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1110395108

- Marie, P., 1946, Sur Laffitteina bibensis et Laffitteina monodi, nouveau genre et nouvelles espèces de Foraminifères du Montien: Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, 15, 419–434.
- Marzoqi, M., Pascal, A., 2000, Séquences de dépôts et tectono-eustatisme à la limite Crétacé/Tertiaire sur la marge sudtéthysienne (Atlas de Marrakech et bassin de Ouarzazate, Maroc): Newsletters on Stratigraphy, 38, 57–80. https://doi. org/10.1127/nos/38/2000/57
- McGhee, G.R., Clapham, M.E., Sheehan,
 P.M., Bottjer, D.J., Droser, M.L., 2013,
 A new ecological severity ranking of major Phanerozoic biodiversity crises:
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
 Palaeoecology, 370, 260–270. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2012.12.019
- Meriç, E., Inan, N., 1995, S ire Una orduensis (Foraminifera) a new genus and species from the Maastrichtian of North - East Anatolia (Gölköy-Ordu): Micropaleontology, (Okuma Komitesinde): Micropaleontology, 44(2),195-200. https://doi.org/10.2307/1486071
- Michard, A., Hoepffner, C., Soulaimani, A., Baidder, L., 2008, The Variscan Belt, in Michard, A., Saddiqui, O., Chalouan, А. (eds.), Continental **Evolution**: Geology of Morocco Structure, The Stratigraphy, and Tectonics of the Africa-Atlantic-Mediterranean Triple Junction: Berlin, Springer, 65-132. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-540-77076-3_3
- Molina, E., Arenillas, I., 1996, The Cretaceous/ Tertiary boundary mass extinction in planktic foraminifera at Agost, (Spain): Revue de Micropaléontologie, 39(3), 225-243. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0035-1598(96)90075-6
- Kazi Tani, N., 1986, Évolution géodynamique de la bordure nord-africaine : ledomaine

intraplaque nord algérien. In: Approche mégaséquentielle: France, Université de Pau, Thèse doctorat, 871 p.

- Keller, G., Adatte, T., Pardo, A., Bajpai, S., Khosla, A., Bandana, S.B., 2010, Cretaceous extinctions: evidence overlooked. Science, 328, 974–975. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.328.5981.974-a
- Rahaghi, A., 1976, Contribution à l'étude de quelques grands foraminifères de l'Iran: Société National Iranienne des Pétroles, Laboratoire de Micropaléontologie 6, 78p.
- Raup, D.M., Sepkoski, J.J., 1982, Mass extinctions in the marine fossil record: Science 215, 1501–1503. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.219.4589.1240
- Robaszynski, F., Mzoughi, M., 2010, The Abiod at Ellès (Tunisia): stratigraphies, Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary, correlation: Carnets de Géologie, 10 (A04), 1-55. https:// doi.org/10.4267/2042/33367
- Serra-Kiel, J., Martín-Martín, M., El Mamoune,
 B., Martín-Algarra, A., Martín-Pérez,
 J.A., Tosquella J., Ferrández-Canadell,
 C., Serrano, Y.F., 1996, Bioestratigrafia y
 litoestratigrafia del Paleogeno del area de
 Sierra Espuna (Cordillera Bética oriental, SE
 de Espana): Acta Geologica Hispanica 31(1– 3), 161–189.
- Serra-Kiel, J., Hottinger, L., Caus, E., Drobne, K., Ferrandez, C., Jauhri, A.K., Less, G., Pavlovec, R., Pignatti, J., Samso, J.M., Schaub, H., Sirel, E., Strougo, A., Tambareau, Y., Tosquella, J., Zakrevskaya, E., 1998, Larger foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Tethyan Paleocene and Eocene: Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, 169(2), 281–299.
- Scholle, P.A., Ulmer-Scholle, D.S., 2003, A color guide to the petrography of carbonate rocks: Grains, textures, porosity, diagenesis, Memoir, 77: Tulsa, A.A.P.G. 461 p.
- Schulte, P., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., Arz, J.A., Barton, P.J., Bown, P.R., Bralower, T.J., Christeson, G.L., Claeys, F., Cockell, C.S., Collins, G.S., Deutsch, A., Goldin,

T.J., Goto, K., Grajales-Nishimura, J.M., Grieve, R.A.F., Gulick, S.P.S., Johnson, K.R., Kiessling, W., Koeberl, C., Kring, D.A., MacLeod, K.G., Matsui, T., Melosh, J., Montanari, A., Morgan, J.V., Neal, C.R., Nichols, D.J., Norris, R.D., Pierazzo, E., Ravizza, G., Rebolledo-Vieyra, M., Uwe-Reimold, W., Robin, E., Salge, T., Speijer, R.P., Sweet, A.R., Urrutia-Fucugauchi, Vivi-Vajda, J., Whalen, M.T., Willumsen, P.S., 2010, The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary: Science, 327(5970), 1214-1218. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1177265.

- Sirel, E., 1994, Orduina erki Sirel 1969 renamed as Laffitteina erki (Sirel) from the Thanetian of Ordu and Burdur (Turkey): Bulletin of the Mineral Research and Exploration, 116, 47-48.
- Sirel, E., 1996, Description and geographic, stratigraphic distribution of the species of Laffitteina Marie from the Maastrichtian and Paleocene of Turkey: Revue de Paléobiologie, Genève, 15, 9–35.
- Sirel, E., 1998, Foraminiferal description and biostratigraphy of the Paleocene-Lower Eocene shallow-water limestones and discussion on the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in Turkey, vol. 2: Turkey, General Directorate of the Mineral Research and Exploration, 117p.
- Strasser, A., Pittet, B., Hillgartner, H., 1985, Depositional sequences in shallow carbonatedominated sedimentary systems: concepts for a high-rsolution analysis sedimentary: Geology, 3-4, 201-221. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0037-0738(99)00070-6.
- Tawadros, E.E., 2001, Geology of Egypt and Libya: Rotterdam, Brookfield, 468p.
- Tucker, M.E., Wright, V.P., 1990, Carbonate sedimentology: New York, Blackwell, 482 p.
- Vila, J.M., 1980, La chaîne alpine d'Algérie orientale et des confins algéro-tunisiens: Paris, Université de Pierre & Marie Curie, Thèse doctorat, 665 p.



- Wilson, J.L., 1975, Carbonate Facies in geologic history: Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 473 p.
- Witts, J.D., Whittle, R.J., Wignall, P.B., Crame, J.A., Francis, J.E., Newton, R.J., Bowman, V.C., 2016, Macrofossil

evidence for a rapid and severe Cretaceous– Paleogene mass extinction in Antarctica: Nature Communications, 7, 11738. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11738

Wright, J.K., 1992, Microfacies of carbonate rocks: Berlin, Springer, 976p. REFERENCES